



Condition Assessment Reserve Fund Plan Update 2020 Burr Oak

MASON & MASON

CAPITAL RESERVE ANALYSTS, INC.

Burke, Virginia



Prepared for: The Board of Trustees Burke Centre Conservancy



MASON & MASON CAPITAL RESERVE ANALYSTS, INC.



P. 0. Box 1 Fort Valley, Virginia 22652 800-776-6980

30 admin@ma

admin@masonreserves.com

March 19, 2020

Mr. Bob Bray, Finance Administrator Burke Centre Conservancy 6060 Burke Centre Parkway Burke, Virginia 22015-3702

RE: CONDITION ASSESSMENT AND RESERVE FUND PLAN UPDATE 2020 Burr Oak Cluster Burke, Virginia Project No. 8903#8

Dear Mr. Bray:

Mason & Mason Capital Reserve Analysts, Inc. has completed the report for Burr Oak.

As outlined in our proposal, the report is being submitted to you and the Board of Directors for review and comment. A review of the Summary of Key Issues iii, and Sections 1 and 2 will provide you with our findings and financial analyses.

We genuinely appreciate the opportunity to work with you and the Association.

Sincerely,

Mason & Mason Capital Reserve Analysts, Inc.

Levi K. Mason, R.S. Vice President



James G. Mason, R.S. Principal



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
FOREWORD	ii
SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES	. iii
VISUAL EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS	3
3. METHODS OF FUNDING	4
4. RESERVE PROGRAMMING	6
5. UPDATING THE RESERVE FUND PLAN	8
6. PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	8
7. ASPHALT PAVEMENT REPORT	9

RESERVE FUND PLAN

COMPONENT DATA AND ASSET REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE	TABLE 1
CALENDAR OF EXPENDITURES	TABLE 2
CURRENT FUNDING ANALYSIS, CASH FLOW METHOD	TABLE 3
ALTERNATIVE FUNDING ANALYSIS, CASH FLOW METHOD	TABLE 3.1
FUNDING ANALYSIS, COMPONENT METHOD	TABLE 4

FOREWORD

PLEASE READ THIS FIRST

This report contains information the Board requires to fulfill its fiduciary responsibilities with respect to the financial health of the Association. Even if you are already familiar with the concepts of capital reserve planning, it requires some study. The information in this report is vital to your Association's financial health. Unless you understand it, your Association may not follow it. This may lead to underfunding and financial stress at some time in the future.

Our years of experience providing reserve analysis to both first-time and multi-update return clients have compelled us to develop a logical funding approach, which is based on generational equity and fairness to common-interest property owners that helps ensure realistic reserve funding levels.

Our approach is neither standard, nor is it necessarily easy to understand without first becoming familiar with some basic concepts. Section 3 explains these concepts in more detail. We want you to understand them because a well-informed Association makes the best decisions for its common-property owners.

SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES

Different readers will look for different things from this report. Perhaps the homeowner will just be looking for the high points. A prospective buyer may be looking at the general financial condition of the Association's reserves. A Board member should probe deeper in order to understand the financial tools that will be helpful in fulfilling their fiduciary responsibilities to the Association.

The Summary of Key Issues presents a recapitulation of the most important findings of Burr Oak Cluster's Reserve Fund Plan Update. Each is discussed in greater detail in the body of the report. We encourage the reader to "go deeper" into the report, and we have written it in a way that's understandable to a first-time reader.

Analyzing the capital reserves reveals that:

 The reserve fund is fully funded through 2019. Our goal is to maintain fully funded status through the end of the 20-year period (2039).

To maintain fully funded status, the Board should:

- Reduce the annual contribution in 2021 from \$5,268 to \$5,035, followed by annual adjustments of 1.0% thereafter.
- This represents a reduction from \$9.34 to \$8.93 (a net reduction of \$0.41) per residence, per month (based on 47 Townhomes).

VISUAL EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

The first step in the process is collection of specific data on each of your community's commonly-held components. This information includes quantity and condition of each included component. We collect most of this data during the on-site field survey. When this information is not available in the field, we may obtain it by discussion with those knowledgeable through management or service activities.

The field survey or condition assessment is visual and non-invasive. We don't perform destructive testing to uncover hidden conditions; perform operational testing of mechanical, electrical, plumbing, fire and life safety protection; or perform code compliance analysis.

We make no warranty that every defect has been identified. Our scope of work doesn't include an evaluation of moisture penetration, mold, indoor air quality, or other environmental issues. While we may identify, pedestrian hazards observed during the course of the field survey, this report shouldn't be considered a safety evaluation of components.

Replacement costs are sometimes based on published references, such as R. S. Means. However, our opinions of replacement costs usually include removal and disposal and are usually based on experience with similar projects including information provided by local contractors and reported client experience. Actual construction costs can vary significantly due to seasonal considerations, material availability, labor, economy of scale, and other factors beyond our control.

Projected useful service lives are based on statistical data and our opinion of their current visual condition. No guarantee of component service life expectancies is expressed or implied and none should be inferred by this report. Your actual experience in replacing components may differ significantly from the projections in the report, because of conditions beyond our control or that were not visually apparent at the time of the survey.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: Burr Oak Cluster is comprised of 47 single-family homes located on five private pipestems on both sides of Burr Oak Way off Oakland Park Drive in Burke, Virginia. The Cluster was constructed circa 1978. The pipestem layout includes only asphalt driveways with no other amenities. Site features include only storm water drainage and surface erosion control.

We are providing the Condition Assessment and Reserve Fund Plan Update based on Proposal Acceptance Agreement No. 8903#8 dated October 9, 2019. Our services are subject to all terms and conditions specified therein.

Mason & Mason did not review the declarations, covenants, or other organization documents pertaining to the establishment and governance of the Cluster. Ultimately, the establishment, management, and expenditure of reserves are within the discretion of the Cluster and its Board of Directors pursuant to their organizational documents and subject to the laws of the applicable jurisdiction. We are not financially associated with Burke Centre Conservancy Management or the Cluster, and therefore do not have any conflicts of interest that would bias this report. Information provided by Management is deemed reliable. This report is not intended to be an audit or a forensic investigation. This report is not a mandate, but is intended to be a guide for future planning.

Mason & Mason provided a Level I Condition Assessment and Reserve Fund Plan for Burr Oak Cluster in 2006, and Level II Updates in 2010 and 2015. This report is an additional Level II Update and includes a new condition assessment. All common components were visually observed. Measurements and quantities were generally accepted from the previous report except where changes have occurred. The update report is a stand-alone document and reference to the previous report should not be necessary.

Levi K. Mason R. S. and Eva Pastalkova, Ph.D., Analyst conducted the field evaluation for this report on March 9, 2020. The sky was clear, and the temperature was approximately 65 degrees F. Precipitation had not occurred for several days prior to the site visit. The pavements and grounds were generally dry and clean of debris.

1.2 Principal Findings: The asphalt drivelanes appear to have been restored within the last few years and are in generally excellent condition. We did not observe any deflected asphalt and only one minor crack, approximately 3 feet in length on Hawthorne. We understand that the pipestem name signs are either the responsibility of Burke Center Conservancy or are managed by the Cluster under operations. However, the signs are in very poor condition and their replacement should be considered.

Currently, the reserve fund requires a slight reduction followed by minimal annual adjustments in contributions to maintain fully funded status through to the end of twenty years. The Association has adequate reserve funding and should be proactive in making the necessary common component repairs and replacements.

In order to maintain the physical attributes that preserve property values and provide a safe environment for occupants and guests, a series of capital expenditures should be anticipated. Consequently, we have scheduled near-, mid-, and late-term restoration and replacement projects based on anticipated need from our experience with similar properties.

Generally, our approach is to group appropriately related component replacement items into projects. This creates a more realistic model and allows a grouping timeline that is more convenient to schedule and logical to accomplish. Please see the Table 1 Discussion, Column 17, and the Asphalt Pavement Report in Section 7, for specific information.

2. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

We track the annual inflation rate among our clients based on their reported costs for typical services. The average rate of inflation since the 2008 recession has been 1.46% according to the U.S. Labor Department, and is similar in our experience with clients. Substantially higher inflation rates have not materialized since then. So, we are using a 2% annual rate of inflation in our calculations. Interest income has also remained low since 2008, and many smaller Associations and Condominiums are earning less than 2% on savings accounts. So, we are using a 1.5% interest income rate of return in our calculations. However, unlike reserves, interest income is taxable, which may reduce the net gain even further. We anticipate increasingly volatile economic conditions near to mid-term. It is prudent to keep a close watch on the economy and be ready to respond by updating the reserve fund plan as economic changes dictate.

2.1 Calculation Basics: The Cluster is on a calendar fiscal year. Management reported that the audited reserve fund balance, including cash and securities, as of **December 31, 2019**, was **\$22,677**. We have used **2.00%** annual inflation and **1.50%** annual interest income in our calculations. The total expenditures for the twenty-year period for both the **Cash Flow Method** and **Component Method** are projected to be **\$109,439**.

2.2 Current Funding Analysis, Cash Flow Method (Table 3): The 2020 annual contribution to reserves has been set at **\$5,268** with a presumed **2.0%** annual increase. At this level, the total for all annual contributions for the twenty-year period would be **\$127,999**, and the total interest income is projected to be **\$15,409**.

This funding results in unnecessarily high balances throughout the twenty-year period and over funds the reserves.

2.3 Alternative Funding Analysis, Cash Flow Method, Hybrid Approach (Table 3.1): This plan provides the annual contributions necessary to maintain balances more consistent with the fully funded goal by reducing the annual contribution to \$5,035 in 2021 and providing a 1% annual adjustment thereafter. This plan allows for a gradual increase over time after the initial reduction, and addresses generational equity issues. The total for all annual contributions for the twenty-year period would be \$110,057, and the total interest income is projected to be \$13,297. The fully funded balance in 2039 is \$36,592.

2.4 Funding Analysis, Component Method (Table 4): This method of funding would require variable annual contributions, averaging \$5,483 over the twenty-year period. The total for all annual contributions would be \$109,652, and the total interest income is projected to be \$13,702. The fully funded balance in 2039 is \$36,592. The Component Method model considers the current reserve fund balance in computing individual component contributions for current cycles.

3. METHODS OF FUNDING

Once the data are compiled, our proprietary software produces two distinct funding methods. These are the **Component Method and Cash Flow Method**. Each of these methods is used in analyzing your Association's reserve status and each plays a role in the Board's decision on how to fund reserves. While we provide the guidance, the choice of funding method is ultimately the prerogative of the Board. Considering the vulnerability of the Association's assets, its risk tolerance, and its ability to fund contributions, the Board should decide how the Association will fund its reserves and at what level.

3.1 Component Method: As reserve analysts, we recognize the value of Component Method calculations as they address both future replacement costs and the time remaining to fund them. This is the foundation of the savings concept. You will see the term "fully funded." This simply means you are on schedule, in any given year, to accrue sufficient funds by the component's replacement date. It does not mean you must have 100% of the funds ahead of time. Simplified Example: A component projected to cost \$1,000 at the end of its 10-year life cycle would require a \$100 annual contribution in each of the 10 years. As long as you follow this contribution plan, the component is "fully funded."

Prior to determining the actual required annual contribution, a complex calculation apportions the existing reserve fund to each component. Each component's remaining unfunded balance forms the basis for the required contribution going forward.

Funds set aside for replacement of individual components are not normally used for the replacement of other components, even though the funds reside in the same bank account. In rare cases where a reserve fund is actually overfunded, \$0 will be displayed on the Component Method tables, indicating that the component is fully funded for that cycle.

While the time basis for the report is a 20-year period, the Component Method allows for inclusion of long-life components that may require replacement after the specified period. This allows for funding of long-life components contemporaneously, which is fundamentally fair if they are serving the current owners. This is in contrast to saying, "if it doesn't require replacement within our 20-year period, we're going to ignore it."

Due to replacement cycle time and cost differentials, the Component Method typically results in annual contribution fluctuations, which often makes it difficult for a Board to implement. However, its guidance is essential and invaluable for understanding funding liabilities and making informed recommendations. Table 4 shows these calculations, as well as projects interest income, expenses with inflation, and yearly balances, which will be "fully funded."

3.2 Cash Flow Method: The Cash Flow Method is easier to implement. It is a simple 20-year spread sheet that includes the starting balance, current contribution, interest income, inflation rate, projected expenses, and resulting yearly balances. The Cash Flow Method pools the contributions allocated to each of the Association's common components into a single "account."

Table 3 shows these calculations. This table reflects the information you provided on your reserve fund balance and current contribution. It also shows projected yearly positive or negative balances. The Cash Flow Method doesn't include replacement funding for anything beyond the 20-year period, thus leaving a potential shortfall in funding and failing to address generational equity if not specifically set to do so. It doesn't provide any real guidance beyond the basic information. There are several variations on cash flow goals such as Threshold Funding (just enough to stay positive) and Percentage Funding (a predetermined level based on some arbitrary percentage), but these schemes don't address the reality of fully funding, and typically are just a way of passing the obligation on to the next generation.

3.3 Hybrid Approach: Please note that this is not a method, rather a way (approach) for us to utilize the Cash Flow Method, while ensuring the appropriate funding levels are achieved long-term. Our Hybrid Approach uses the projected fully funded balance at the end of the 20-year period from Table 4 as a funding goal. We then set up Cash Flow funding plans. Table 3 is your "where we are now" Cash Flow spreadsheet modeling your reserve balance and current contribution. Table 3.1 (and possibly others) provides alternative(s) to this that meet the fully funded goal from Table 4.

We usually establish a new Cash Flow contribution that requires only small annual inflationary increases to reach the fully funded goal at the end of the 20-year period. This has the added effect of establishing a funding plan that addresses inflation. The contribution in the first year, adjusted for inflation, is equal to the contribution in the last year, based on inflated dollars (future value of money). This approach will also allow underfunded Associations the time to catch up, mitigating undue hardships. It balances the risk of temporary underfunding with the benefit of consistent predictable increasing contributions. The combination of the Component and Cash Flow Methods (Hybrid Approach) provides the advantages of both methods.

4. RESERVE PROGRAMMING

The Mason & Mason proprietary software used to produce the financial tables (Tables 1 through 4) have been under continual refinement for over a decade. It is unique in the industry as it provides comprehensive modeling through Microsoft Access and Excel that addresses the many challenges of reserve funding, allows analysts and clients to run "what if" scenarios, provides an easy to understand matrix of views and functions, and is easily provided to clients through e-mail.

4.1 Interest Income on Reserve Funds: Most Associations invest at least part of their reserve funds. Small Associations may simply use a savings account or certificates of deposit, while large Associations may have multiple investments with short-, medium-, and long-term instruments. One issue that is difficult to quantify is the percentage of funds invested. Some Associations invest a fairly substantial portion, while others hold back due to current cash outflow obligations. Some Associations do not reinvest the investment proceeds in their reserves; rather they divert the cash into their operations fund. We do not agree with this approach as it has the effect of requiring additional reserve contributions to make up for the difference. There is also the issue of changing rates over the 20-year period. In the recent past we have seen large swings in relatively short time periods. While reserve funds are not usually taxable by the IRS, the investment income generated by the reserve fund is taxable in most situations. Even with all these potential pitfalls, investment income still represents a substantial source of additional funds and for this reason should not be ignored. There is no way to make "one size fits all" with any accuracy for the individual Association. Our approach to this dilemma is to use lower approximations that compensate for less than 100% of funds invested. We feel this is still better than not recognizing it, and periodic updates allow for adjustments based on experience. The rate can be set at any level, including zero, for Associations desiring to not recognize interest. The rate should reflect, as accurately as possible, the actual composite rate of return on all securities and other instruments of investment including allowances for taxes.

The interest income displayed on Table 3 and Table 4 is the summation of the beginning reserve fund interest accrual and the interest earned on the contributions minus the interest lost by withdrawing the capital expenditures. This method of calculation, while not exact, approximates the averages of the three principal components of a reserve fund for each twelve-month period.

4.2 Future Replacement Costs (Inflation): Inflation is a fact of life. In order to replicate future financial conditions as accurately as possible, inflation on replacement costs should be recognized. The financial tables have been programmed to calculate inflation based upon a pre-determined rate. This rate can be set at any level, including zero. A plan that doesn't include inflation is a 1-year plan, and any data beyond that first year won't reflect reality.

4.3 Simultaneous Funding: This is a method of calculating funding for multiple replacement cycles of a single component over a period of time from the same starting date. Simple Example: Funding for a re-roofing project, while, at the same time, funding for a second, subsequent re-roofing project. This method serves a special purpose if multiple-phase projects are all near-term, but will result in higher annual contribution requirements and leads to generational equity issues otherwise. We use this type of programming only in special circumstances.

4.4 Sequential Funding: This is a method of calculating funding for multiple replacement cycles of a single component over a period of time where each funding cycle begins when the previous cycle ends. Simple Example: Funding for the second reroofing project begins after the completion of the initial re-roofing project. This method of funding appears to be fundamentally equitable. We use this type of programming except in special circumstances.

4.5 Normal Replacement: Components are scheduled for complete replacement at the end of their useful service lives. Simple Example: An entrance sign is generally replaced all at once.

4.6 Cyclic Replacement: Components are replaced in stages over a period of time. Simple Example: Deficient sidewalk panels are typically replaced individually as a small percentage, rather than the complete system.

4.7 Minor Components: A minimum component value is usually established for inclusion in the reserve fund. Components of insignificant value in relation to the scale of the Association shouldn't be included and should be deferred to the operations budget. A small Association might exclude components with aggregate values less than \$1,000, while a large Association might exclude components with aggregate values of less than \$10,000. Including many small components tends to over complicate the plan and doesn't provide any relative value or utility.

4.8 Long Life Components: Almost all Associations have some components with long or very long useful service lives typically ranging between thirty and sixty years. Traditionally, this type of component has been ignored completely. Simple Example: Single replacement components such as entrance monuments should be programmed for full replacement at their statistical service life. This allows for all common property owners to pay their fair share during the time the component serves them. This also has the added effect of reducing the funding burden significantly as it is carried over many years.

4.9 Projected Useful Service Life: Useful service lives of components are established using construction industry standards and our local experience as a guideline. Useful service lives can vary greatly due to initial quality and installation, inappropriate materials, maintenance practices or lack thereof, environment, parts attrition, and obsolescence. By visual observation, the projected useful service life may be shortened or extended due to the present condition. The projected useful service life is not a mandate, but a guideline, for anticipating when a component will require replacement and how many years remain to fund it.

4.10 Generational Equity: As the term applies to reserves, it is the state of fairness between and over the generations relating to responsibility for assets you are utilizing during your time of ownership. It is neither reasonable, nor good business to defer current liabilities to future owners. This practice is not only unfair; it can also have a very negative impact on future property values.

5. UPDATING THE RESERVE FUND PLAN

A reserve fund plan should be periodically updated to remain a viable planning tool. Changing financial conditions and widely varying aging patterns of components dictate that revisions should be undertaken periodically from one to five years, depending upon the complexity of the common assets and the age of the community. Weather, which is unpredictable, plays a large part in the aging process.

Full Updates (Level II) include a site visit to observe current conditions. These updates include adjustments to the component inventory, replacement schedules, annual contributions, balances, replacement costs, inflation rates, and interest income.

We encourage Associations that are undergoing multiple simultaneous or sequential costly restoration projects (usually high rise buildings) to perform Level III Administrative Updates. Administrative updates do not include a condition assessment. They are accomplished by comparing original projections with actual experience during the interim period as reported by Management. These updates can be performed annually and include adjustments to the replacement schedules, contributions, balances, replacement costs, inflation rates, and interest income. The Level III Administrative Update can be a cost-effective way of keeping current between Level II Full Update cycles. Full Updates (Level II) and Administrative Updates (Level III) help to ensure the integrity of the reserve fund plan.

6. PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE

The following preventive maintenance practices are suggested to assist the Association in the development of a routine maintenance program. The recommendations are not to be considered the only maintenance required, but should be included in an overall program. The development of a maintenance checklist and an annual condition survey will help extend the useful service lives of the Association's assets.

This section includes best maintenance practices or life-extension maintenance for many, but not necessarily all, components in the report. Items for which no maintenance is necessary, appropriate or beyond the purview of this report are not included in this section. We typically include them for townhomes and garden condominiums while mid- and high-rise buildings are generally too complex.

6.1 Asphalt Pavement: Pavement maintenance is the routine work performed to keep a pavement, subjected to normal traffic and the ordinary forces of nature, as close as possible to its as-constructed condition. Asphalt overlays may be used to correct both surface deficiencies and structural deficiencies. Surface deficiencies in asphalt pavement usually are corrected by thin resurfacing, but structural deficiencies require overlays designed on factors such as pavement properties and traffic loading. Any needed full-depth repairs and crack filling should be accomplished prior to overlaying. The edgemill and overlay process includes milling the edges of the pavement at the concrete gutter and feathering the depth of cut toward the center of the drive lane. Milling around meter heads and utility features is sometimes required. The typical useful life for an asphalt overlay is twenty years.

6.2 Asphalt Seal Coating: The purpose is to seal and add new life to a roadway surface. It protects the existing pavement but does not add significant structural strength. A surface treatment can range from a single, light application of emulsified asphalt as a "fog" seal, to a multiple-surface course made up of alternate applications of asphalt and fine aggregate. Seal coating of all asphalt pavements should be performed at approximately six-year intervals, or approximately twice during the service life of the asphalt pavement. Seal coating more often is generally not cost-effective. The material used should be impervious to petroleum products and should be applied after crack filling, oil-spot cleaning, and full-depth repairs have been accomplished. Seal coating is a cost-effective way of extending the life of asphaltic concrete pavement. Seal coating is generally not scheduled for up to five years after an asphalt restoration project.

6.3 Asphalt Full-Depth Repairs: In areas where significant alligator cracking, potholes, or deflection of the pavement surface develops, the existing asphalt surface should be removed to the stone base course and the pavement section replaced with new asphalt. Generally, this type of failure is directly associated with the strength of the base course. When the pavement is first constructed, the stone base consists of a specific grain size distribution that provides strength and rigidity to the pavement section. Over time, the stone base course can become contaminated with fine-grained soil particles from the supporting soils beneath the base course. The most positive repair to such an area is to remove the contaminated base course and replace it with new base stone to the design depth. It is appropriate to perform these types of repairs immediately prior to asphalt restoration projects. Generally, this type of repair should not be required for approximately five years after an asphalt restoration project.

6.4 Asphalt Crack Filling: Cracks that develop throughout the life of the asphalt should be thoroughly cleaned of plant growth and debris (lanced) and then filled with a rubberized asphalt crack sealant. If the crack surfaces are not properly prepared, the sealant will not adhere. Crack filling should be accomplished every three to six years to prevent infiltration of water through the asphalt into the sub-grade, causing damage to the road base. It is appropriate to perform these types of repairs immediately prior to edgemill and overlay. Generally, this type of repair should not be required for approximately five years after an edgemill and overlay project.

Street Name	Total SY Asphalt Pavement	SY Full- Depth Repairs	Linear Footage Cracks	Parking Spaces	Parking Bays
Azalea	720	0	0	0	0
Bradford	1,928	0	0	0	0
Cherry	880	0	0	0	0
Dogwood	480	0	0	0	0
Hawthorne	326	0	3	0	0
TOTALS	4,334	0	3	0	0

7. ASPHALT PAVEMENT REPORT

All quantities approximate

COMPONENT DATA AND ASSET REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE TABLE 1 EXPLANATION

This table lists the common assets included in the reserve fund plan and provides details of the replacement schedules. A narrative discussion is provided adjacent to each component. Photo references and maintenance protocol reference numbers are also provided. An explanation of each column in the table follows:

- Column 1 Component No. is consistent throughout all tables.
- Column 2 Component is a brief description of the component.
- Column 3 Quantity of the component studied, which may be an exact number, a rough estimate, or simply a (1) if the expenditure forecast is a lump sum allowance for replacement of an unquantified component.
- Column 4 Unit of Measurement used to quantify the component:
- SY = Square Yards SF = Square Feet LF = Linear Feet EA = Each LS = Lump Sum PR = Pair CY = Cubic Yards
- Column 5 Unit Cost used to calculate the required expenditure. This unit cost includes removal of existing components and installation of new components, including materials, labor, and overhead and profit for the contractor.
- Column 6 Total Asset Base is the total value of common assets included in the study in current dollars. In addition to capital assets, this figure includes one cycle of maintenance liability.
- Column 7 Typical Service Life (Yrs) or Cycle is the typical life expectancy of similar components in average conditions or the length of years between replacement cycles, and does not necessarily reflect the conditions observed during the field evaluation. This number is furnished for reference and is not necessarily computed in the system.
- Column 8 1st Cycle Year is the scheduled year of the first projected replacement or repair.
- Column 9 Percentage of Replacement is the percentage of component value to be replaced in the first replacement cycle.
- Column 10 Cost for 1st Cycle is the future cost (with inflation) of the replacement. It is the product of Column 6 times Column 9 in future dollars.
- Column 11 2nd Cycle Year is the scheduled year of the second projected replacement or repair. If a second cycle is not listed, it is because the first cycle is beyond the end of the study.
- Column 12 Percentage of Replacement is the percentage of component value to be replaced in the second replacement cycle. This can vary from the percentage of the first cycle for various reasons, such as the increased age of a component may require a larger amount of repair.
- Columns 13 Cycles, Percentage, and Cost repeat as itemized above. Although not shown on the tables, Through 16 the cycles continue throughout the study period and beyond.
- Column 18 Discussion is the description and observed condition of the component and the methodology employed in the decision-making process. Includes the photo reference, (Photo #1, #2, etc.) and Maintenance Protocol reference numbers (7.1, 7.2 etc.) if applicable.

	8. BUI		K CL	lan for USTER nia				AS		PLA(E		www.masonreserves.com 800-776-1
									.5							only for the client and its management. Una clients or other purposes is strictly forb
	ontonent No. Contonent	Olisit	ity . mit	or Measurement	TotalA	55et Bas	pical Service	ed cycle life in cycle vest	nto costro	ant cycle	Cycle Vear	ntage People Peo	and Cycle	Cycle Teat	intage of Peoplace	or 34 Cicle DISCUSS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	18
1 A	SPHALT COMPONENTS	S														
1.1	Asphalt Restoration Project	4,334	SY	\$10.00	\$43,340	18	2035	100%	\$58,330	2053	100%	\$83,310				The asphalt pavement throughout the community appears to be in generally g 2017. The thickness of the pavement could not be visually determined. Restorat Core sampling should be used to determine the depth and condition of the sub- of any inadequate sub-base. A full service life is dependent on Preventive main of the report and scheduled in Items 1.2 and 1.3 below. See the Asphalt Pavemen
1.2	Asphalt Seal Coat	4,334	SY	\$1.05	\$4,551	6	2023	100%	\$4,829	2029	100%	\$5,439	2041	100%	\$6,897	The pavement does not appear to have been seal coated. Seal coating may hel largely a cosmetic issue. To help improve curb appeal after repairs, we have pavement restoration project when it is not necessary. Crack filling and full-de benefit from the seal coating. Seal coating projects include re-striping. It sho banned from use in many localities throughout the country due to heavy contam
1.3	Asphalt Full-Depth Repair & Crack Fill Allowance	1	LS	\$7,300.00	\$7,300	6	2023	25%	\$1,937	2029	50%	\$4,362	2035	100%	\$9,825	Deflected pavement, indicative of sub-base damage, was observed in two loc (approximately 3 linear feet) was observed on one pipestem. No other deficience life of the pavement. Full-depth repairs and crack filling are scheduled progress asphalt restoration project.
2 SI	TE FEATURES									-						
2.1	Storm Water Drainage System Allowance	1	LS	\$4,080.00	\$4,080	5	2022	100%	\$4,245	2027	100%	\$4,687	2032	100%	\$5,174	The streets are constructed without storm water drainage amenities. This cates addresses potential storm water collection, drainage, and erosion issues throu already identified as necessary.
3 El	NGINEERING															
3.1	Cyclic Updates	1	LS	\$1,049.00	\$1,049	5	2020	100%	\$1,049	2025	100%	\$1,158	2030	100%	\$1,279	At the direction of Management, we have included an allowance to cover the cos
-						_										



s contain proprietary code and are intended Unauthorized use of the formulae for other orbidden and will be considered piracy.

SSION

3

y good (new) condition and appears to have been restored in approximately ration includes full-width milling to a depth of 2" and new compacted asphalt. sub-base and pavement prior to restoration. Costs do not include replacement aintenance being performed as suggested in Preventive Maintenance section ment Report, Section 7, for additional details.

help prevent water infiltration into the sub-base through micro-cracks, but is ve scheduled seal coating projects every six years, except in the year of the -depth repairs should be completed prior to application to achieve maximum should be understood that coal-tar based seal coating products have been amination of ground water.

locations. A small amount of random longitudinal and transverse cracking encies were observed. Repairs are essential in order to maximize the service essively every six years throughout the study period, including the year of the

ategory may also be used to address localized erosion issues. This line item roughout the study period and does not represent a single expense or action

cost of future updates, which are performed on a five-year basis.

CALENDAR OF EXPENDITURES TABLE 2 EXPLANATION

This table is a yearly plan of action of replacements and costs. A description of the columns in the table follows:

Column 1	Year is the year of the projected replacement and expenditure.
Column 2	Component No. itemizes the components and is consistent throughout the tables.
Column 3	Component is a brief description of the component.
Column 4	Present Cost is the cost for the cycle in today's dollars.
Column 5	Future Cost (Inflated) is the cost for the cycle in future dollars.
Column 6	Total Annual Expenditures gives the total expenditures by year.
Column 7	Action is an area provided for the Board to make notations as to action taken on each component.

.

CALENDAR OF EXPENDITURES TABLE 2

Reserve Fund Plan for 8. BURR OAK CLUSTER Burke, Virginia

2020 Through 2039

YEAR	COMPONENT NO.	COMPONENT	PRESENT COST 2020	FUTURE COST (INFLATED)	TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENDITURES	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
2020		ů	4	J	2020	
	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049	\$1,049	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
	•		<i>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</i>	<i>v</i> : , v : v	\$1,049	
2021					2021	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2022					2022	
	2.1	Storm Water Drainage System Allowance	\$4,080	\$4,245	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
					\$4,245	
2023					2023	
	1.2	Asphalt Seal Coat	\$4,551	\$4,829	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
	1.3	Asphalt Full-Depth Repair & Crack Fill Allowance	\$1,825	\$1,937		
		• • •	. ,	. ,	\$6,766	
2024					2024	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2025					2025	
	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049	\$1,158	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
			<i>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</i>	* 1,100	\$1,158	
2026					2026	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2027					2027	
2021	2.1	Storm Water Drainage System Allowance	\$4,080	\$4,687	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
			ψ+,000	\$4 ,001	\$4,687	
2028					2028	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2029					2029	
	1.2	Asphalt Seal Coat	\$4,551	\$5,439	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
	1.3	Asphalt Full-Depth Repair & Crack Fill Allowance	\$3,650	\$4,362		
				· /	\$9,801	
2030					2030	
	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049	\$1,279	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
					\$1,279	
2031					2031	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2032					2032	
	2.1	Storm Water Drainage System Allowance	\$4,080	\$5,174	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
					\$5,174	
2033					2033	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2034					2034	
					NO EXPENDITURES	
2035					2035	
	1.1	Asphalt Restoration Project	\$43,340	\$58,330	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
		Acabalt Full-Doath Popair & Crack Fill Allowanco	\$7,300	\$9,825		
	1.3	Asphalt Full-Depth Repair & Crack Fill Allowance		-		
	1.3 3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049	\$1,412		
				\$1,412	\$69,567	
2036				\$1,412	2036	
				\$1,412	2036 NO EXPENDITURES	
2036 2037	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049		2036 NO EXPENDITURES 2037	
				\$1,412 \$5,713	2036 NO EXPENDITURES 2037 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	
2037	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049		2036 NO EXPENDITURES 2037 TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$5,713	
	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049		2036 NO EXPENDITURES 2037 TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$5,713 2038	
2037	3.1	Cyclic Updates	\$1,049		2036 NO EXPENDITURES 2037 TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$5,713	



ACTION 7

CURRENT FUNDING ANALYSIS CASH FLOW METHOD TABLE 3.0 EXPLANATION and, if applicable, ALTERNATIVE FUNDING ANALYSIS CASH FLOW METHOD TABLE 3.1, 3.2, 3,3 (etc.) EXPLANATION

Table 3.0 shows the financial picture over the twenty-year study period, using the current annual contribution and the reserve fund balance reported at the beginning of the study year. If the results of the study indicate a need to increase the annual contribution to maintain adequate balances throughout the study period, Table 3.1, and possibly, 3.2 will be provided for consideration. Alternatives might also be provided if a community is over-funded and desires to adjust the annual contribution downward.

Alternative funding may be achieved by increasing the annual contribution to a fixed yearly amount or by applying an annual escalation factor to increase contributions over time, or a combination of both methods. An inflation factor and interest income factor may be included in the calculations on this page.

A description of the columns in the table follows:

Year

Column 1

- Column 2 Total Asset Base of all common capital assets included in the reserve fund with costs adjusted for inflation.
- Column 3 Beginning Reserve Fund Balance is the reserve fund balance after all activity in the prior year is completed.
- Column 4 Annual Contribution, on Table 3, is the amount contributed annually to the reserve fund as reported by the Board of Directors. On the Alternative Funding Analysis tables (3.1, 3.2, etc.), the annual contribution is projected to maintain positive balances throughout the study period.
- Column 5 Interest Income, which is indicated in the heading of the table, is applied to the reserve fund balance and is accrued monthly throughout each year after the yearly expenditures are deducted. The interest income percentage may be varied to reflect actual experience of the community investments.
- Column 6 Capital Expenditures are annual totals of expenditures for each year of the study period adjusted by the inflation percentage listed in the heading of the table.
- Column 7 Ending Reserve Fund Balance is the result of the beginning reserve fund balance plus the annual contribution, plus interest income, less capital expenditures for the year.
- Column 8 Balance to Asset Base Ratio, expressed as a percentage, is the ratio between the ending reserve fund balance and the total asset base for that year. The ratio is useful to the analysts in understanding general financial condition, but there is no standard ratio as each community's condition and complexity varies.

Reserve Fund Plan for 8. BURR OAK CLUSTER Burke, Virginia

CURRENT FUNDING ANALYSIS CASH FLOW METHOD TABLE 3



Beginning Reserve Fund Balance: Annual Contribution To Reserves: Contribution Percentage Increase: Annual Inflation Factor: Annual Interest Income Factor: In Dollars 22,677 5.268 2.00% 2.00% 1.50% **BEGINNING RESERVE** ENDING RESERVE FUND TOTAL ASSET BASE FUND BALANCE ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION INTEREST INCOME CAPITAL EXPENDITURES BALANCE YEAR 2 3 4 5 7 1 6 377 2020 60,320 22.677 5.268 1.049 27.273 2021 61,526 27,273 5,373 456 0 33,102 2022 62,757 33,102 510 4,245 34,848 5,481 2023 517 64,012 34,848 5,590 6,766 34,189 2024 65,292 34,189 5,702 563 0 40,455 2025 66,598 40,455 5,816 649 1,158 45,762 2026 67,930 45.762 5.933 740 0 52.434 2027 69,288 52,434 6,051 803 4,687 54,602 2028 70,674 54,602 6,172 875 0 61,649 2029 72,088 61,649 6,296 903 9,801 59,046 2030 73,529 59,046 6,422 934 1,279 65,123 2031 75,000 65,123 6,550 1,037 0 72,710 2032 76,500 72.710 75.328 6,681 1,111 5.174 75,328 2033 78,030 6,815 1,193 0 83,336 2034 79,591 83,336 6,951 1,315 0 91,602 2035 81,182 875 69,567 91,602 7,090 30,000 2036 82,806 30,000 7,232 512 0 37,744 2037 84,462 37,744 7,376 584 5,713 39,991 2038 39,991 665 0 48,181 86,151 7,524 2039 87,874 48,181 7,674 790 0 56,645

STUDY PERIOD TOTALS

127.999 15.409 109.439

Reserve Fund Plan for 8. BURR OAK CLUSTER Burke, Virginia

ALTERNATIVE FUNDING ANALYSIS CASH FLOW METHOD HYBRID APPROACH TABLE 3.1



		Beginning Reserve Fund Balance:	Annual Contribution To Reserves:	Contribution Percentage Increase:	Annual Inflation Factor:	Annual Interest Income Factor:
In Dollars		22,677	5,268	1.00%	2.00%	1.50%
YEAR	TOTAL ASSET BASE	BEGINNING RESERVE FUND BALANCE	ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION	INTEREST INCOME	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	ENDING RESERVE FUND BALANCE
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2020	60,320	22,677	5,268	377	1,049	27,273
2021	61,526	27,273	5,035	453	0	32,761
2022	62,757	32,761	5,086	502	4,245	34,104
2023	64,012	34,104	5,137	502	6,766	32,976
2024	65,292	32,976	5,188	540	0	38,704
2025	66,598	38,704	5,240	618	1,158	43,404
2026	67,930	43,404	5,292	699	0	49,395
2027	69,288	49,395	5,345	751	4,687	50,804
2028	70,674	50,804	5,399	811	0	57,014
2029	72,088	57,014	5,452	826	9,801	53,492
2030	73,529	53,492	5,507	842	1,279	58,562
2031	75,000	58,562	5,562	930	0	65,054
2032	76,500	65,054	5,618	986	5,174	66,484
2033	78,030	66,484	5,674	1,050	0	73,208
2034	79,591	73,208	5,731	1,152	0	80,092
2035	81,182	80,092	5,788	690	69,567	17,003
2036	82,806	17,003	5,846	305	0	23,153
2037	84,462	23,153	5,904	351	5,713	23,696
2038	86,151	23,696	5,963	407	0	30,066
2039	87,874	30,066	6,023	503	0	36,592
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
STU	DY PERIOD TOTALS		110,057	13,297	109,439	FULLY FUNDED BALANCE GOAL

FUNDING ANALYSIS COMPONENT METHOD TABLE 4 EXPLANATION

Table 4 is a yearly list of annual contributions toward each component, which must be made to achieve 100% funding. The reserve fund balance is the balance at the beginning of the study year. The beginning reserve fund balance is applied, proportionately, to each component prior to calculating the yearly contribution for each component. Future costs (inflation) are factored into the replacement cycles. The annual contribution for each year is calculated in the bottom row of the study labeled **Annual Component Contribution Totals**. Interest and inflation are calculated at the same annual rates as the Cash Flow Method (Table 3).

- Column 1 Component Number is consistent throughout the tables.
- Column 2 Component is a brief description of the component.
- Columns **3 22** Years lists the annual contribution amount toward each component throughout the twenty-year study period, which is totaled at the bottom of the component table.

COMPONENT METHOD SUMMARY

The component method summary computes the beginning reserve fund balance, the annual component contribution, the annual expenditures, and interest income. It then provides the ending reserve fund balance for each year of the study.

Reserve Fund Plan for 8. BURR OAK CLUSTER Burke, Virginia

FUNDING ANALYSIS COMPONENT METHOD TABLE 4



Beginning Reserve Fund Balance:

	In Dollars		22,6	677																	
Component Number	COMPONENT	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039
1 ASPHA	LT COMPONENTS																				
1.1	Asphalt Restoration Project	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	2,116	4,029	4,029	4,029	4,029	4,029
1.2	Asphalt Seal Coat	960	960	960	866	866	866	866	866	866	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524
1.3	Asphalt Full-Depth Repair & Crack Fill Allow	385	385	385	694	694	694	694	694	694	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	440	440	440	440	440
2 SITE FE	ATURES																				
2.1	Storm Water Drainage System Allowance	1,276	1,276	902	902	902	902	902	996	996	996	996	996	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,214	1,214	1,214
3 ENGINE	ERING																				
3.1	Cyclic Updates	858	223	223	223	223	246	246	246	246	246	272	272	272	272	272	300	300	300	300	300
ANNU	AL COMPONENT CONTRIBUTION TOTALS	5,595	4,960	4,586	4,801	4,801	4,824	4,824	4,918	4,918	5,446	5,472	5,472	5,576	5,576	5,576	6,393	6,393	6,507	6,507	6,507
COMPON	IENT METHOD SUMMARY	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039
-	BEGINNING RESERVE FUND BALANCE	22,677	27,611	33,029	33,906	32,492	37,823	42,100	47,599	48,589	54,281	50,790	55,795	62,155	63,541	70,122	76,803	14,841	21,510	22,682	29,585
PLU	IS ANNUAL COMPONENT CONTRIBUTION	5,595	4,960	4,586	4,801	4,801	4,824	4,824	4,918	4,918	5,446	5,472	5,472	5,576	5,576	5,576	6,393	6,393	6,507	6,507	6,507
	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	1,049	0	4,245	6,766	0	1,158	0	4,687	0	9,801	1,279	0	5,174	0	0	69,567	0	5,713	0	0
	SUBTOTAL	27,223	32,571	33,370	31,941	37,293	41,489	46,924	47,830	53,507	49,926	54,983	61,267	62,557	69,117	75,698	13,629	21,234	22,304	29,189	36,092
	PLUS INTEREST INCOME @ 1.50%	388	458	536	551	530	611	675	759	774	864	812	887	984	1,005	1,105	1,212	276	378	396	500
FU	LLY FUNDED RESERVE FUND BALANCE	27,611	33,029	33,906	32,492	37,823	42,100	47,599	48,589	54,281	50,790	55,795	62,155	63,541	70,122	76,803	14,841	21,510	22,682	29,585	36,592

176% PERCENT FUNDED FOR CURRENT CYCLE

TOTAL EXPENDITURES 109,439

TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS 109,652 STUDY PERIOD TOTAL INTEREST 13,702

MASON & MASON CAPITAL RESERVE ANALYSTS, INC.

AVERAGE ANNUAL	E 492
CONTRIBUTION	5,483